Data Validation Checklist Inorganic Analyses

Project:	35 TH Avenue Superfund Site	Project No:	60430028; 1
Laboratory:	TestAmerica – Savannah, GA	Job ID.:	680-106200-1
Method:	SW-846 6010C (Aluminum, Arsenic, Iron, and Lead)	Associated San	nples: Refer to Attachment A (Sample Summary)
Matrix:	Soil	Samples Collec	eted: 10/08/2014 & 10/09/2014
Reviewer:	Karen M Trujillo, URS Group, Inc.	Date:	08/07/2015
Concurrence ¹ :	Martha Meyers-Lee, URS Group, Inc.	Date:	08/07/2015

	Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
1.	Were sample preservation requirements met? If pH of aqueous sample >2 and was not adjusted by laboratory prior to analysis, J- flag positive results and R- flag non-detect results.			✓		
2.	Were all COC records signed and integrity seals intact, indicating that COC was maintained for all samples?	✓				
3.	Were there any problems noted in laboratory data package concerning condition of samples upon receipt?		√			
4.	Do any soil/sediment samples contain more than 50% water? If yes, then results are to be reported on a wet-weight basis.		√			
5.	Have any technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? (Hg: ≤28 days, other metals: ≤6 months; Cr+6: ≤24 hours from extraction). If not, then J- flag positive results and R- flag non-detect aqueous results.		√			
6.	Were results for all project-specified target analytes reported?	√				
7.	Were project-specified Reporting Limits achieved for undiluted sample analyses?		✓		Resident Soil RSL with THQ = 1.0 (ORNL, June 2015) for target analytes: • Aluminum: 77,000 mg/Kg • Arsenic: 0.68 mg/Kg • Iron: 55,000 mg/Kg • Lead: 400 mg/Kg The MDL for each target analyte is less than or equal to the respective above-mentioned RSL in undiluted samples.	
8.	Were method blank (MB) prepared at the appropriate frequency (one per 20 samples, batch, matrix, and level)?	√				
9.	Was a calibration blank (ICB/CCB) analyzed at the beginning, after every 10 th sample, and at the end of each analytical run?	✓				
10.	Were target analytes detected in the method and/or calibration blanks?		✓		Target analyte was not detected in the method blanks. Calibration blanks were not evaluated.	

¹ Independent technical reviewer

	Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
11.	Were target analytes reported in equipment/rinsate blanks analyses above the DL?			√	According to the QAPP, a rinsate blank is to be collected after each decontamination event, which occurs once per week per the client. A rinsate blank is not associated with this sampling event. Blank contamination will be evaluated based on method blank results.	3
	Were contaminants detected in samples below the blank contamination action level? o If blank result > RL, • Flag sample results \leq RL with a U • Flag positive sample results > RL and \leq 10x blank result, as J+ positive results o If blank result \leq RL, • Flag sample results \leq RL with a U • Flag positive sample results > RL and \leq 10x blank result, as J+ positive results			√	Target analytes were not detected during the analysis of the method blanks. An evaluation of the effect of blank contamination on soil sample results was based on method blank results, and not calibration blank results.	
13.	Are there negative laboratory blank results with the absolute value ≤RL? If yes, then flag positive and non-detect sample results that are < 10x absolute blank value as J- and UJ, respectively.		~			
14.	Was a field duplicate analyzed?	√			CV0005Y-CSD6 (680-106200-2) is a field duplicate of sample CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1).	
15.	Was precision deemed acceptable as defined by the project plans?	✓			Refer to Attachment B (Field Duplicate Evaluation)	
16.	Were initial and continuing calibration standards analyzed at the lab/project-specified frequency for each instrument? o 6010C: • ICAL: Blank and one standard • ICV initially, and CCV every 10 th sample and at the end of the analytical run • Lower Limit of Quantitation Check Sample (CRI) to be analyzed after establishing lower laboratory reporting limits and as needed o 7471A: • ICAL: Blank and five standards • ICV initially, and CCV every 10 th sample and at the end of the analytical run o 7196A: • ICAL: Blank and minimum of five standards • ICV initially, and CCV every 10 th sample (15 th per Method) and at the end of the analytical run	*			6010C: 10/15/2014, 10/16/2014, 10/17/2014, 10/18/2014, and 10/20/2014. One blank and one standard initially. ICV initially, and CCV every 10 samples and at end of run. CRI after initial calibration blank analysis.	
17.	Were these results within lab/project specifications? o 6010C ICV/CCV (Criteria: 90-110%R): If %R <75, then J- flag positive results and R-flag non-detects If 75-89%R, then J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-	√				

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
detects					
 If 111-125%R, then J flag positive results 					
■ If >125%R, then J+ flag positive results					
 If >160%R, then R flag positive results 					
• CRI (Method: 70-130%R, Laboratory: 50-150%R; Project:					
50-150%R for Sb, Pb, and Tl, and 70-130%R for all other					
analytes):					
■ If CRI %R <50 (<30% for Sb, Pb, TL), then R flag results					
\leq 2x RL and J flag positive results >2x RL					
■ If CRI %R 50-69% (30-49% for Sb, Pb, TL), then J- and					
UJ flag positive results <2x RL and ND, respectively					
■ If CRI %R >130% and <180% (>150%, but <200% for					
Sb, Pb, TL), then J+ flag positive results <2x RL					
■ If CRI %R >180% (>200% for Sb, Pb, TL), then R flag					
positive results					
o 7471A					
• ICV/CCV (Criteria: 80-120%R):					
 If correlation coefficients <0.995, then J and UJ flag 					
positive and non-detect results.					
■ If %R <65, then J- flag positive results and R-flag non-					
detects					
• If 65-79%R, then J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-					
detects					
• If 121-135%R, then J flag positive results					
■ If >135%R, then J+ flag positive results					
■ If >170%R, then R flag positive results					
CRI (Method: Not required, Laboratory: 50-150%R, Project: 70-1209(P)					
70-130%R):					
■ If CRI %R <50, then R flag results \leq 2x RL and J flag					
positive results >2x RL					
■ If CRI %R 50-69%, then J- and UJ flag positive results					
<2x RL and ND, respectively If CRL ("P > 1200", and c1800", then It floor positive					
 If CRI %R >130% and ≤180%, then J+ flag positive results <2x RL 					
■ If CRI %R >180%, then R flag positive result					
o 7196A:					
• ICV/CCV (Criteria: 90-110%R):					
■ If correlation coefficients <0.995, then J and UJ flag					
positive and non-detect results.					
■ If %R <65, then J- flag positive results and R-flag non-					
detects					
■ If 65-90%R, then J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-					
detects					
■ If 110-135%R, then J flag positive results					
11 110 100 total and a mag positive results		1	1		

Data Validation Checklist (Continued)

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
■ If >135%R, then J+ flag positive results					
■ If >170%R, then R flag positive results					
18. Was the interference check sample (ICS) analyzed at the beginning of	\checkmark				
each ICP analytical run?					
19. Are ICS recoveries within 80-120% of the true value? If not, qualify	✓				
data as follows when native Al, Fe, Ca, and Mg sample concentrations					
are equal to or greater than the ICS spiking level:					
o If >120%R (or >true value plus 2x CRQL), J+ flag positive results					
o If 50-79%R (or less than true value − 2x the CRQL), J- flag					
positive results and UJ flag non-detects					
o If <50%R, J- flag positive results and R-flag non-detects					
20. Was a LCS analyzed for each preparation batch (one per 20 samples	\checkmark				
per matrix and level)?					
21. Did LCS recoveries meet method/laboratory/project (80-120%R)	\checkmark				
specifications?					
o Soil:					
 LCS result > Upper control limit (UCL): J+ flag positive 					
results					
• LCS result < Lower control limit (LCL): J- flag positive					
results and UJ flag non-detects					
o Aqueous:					
• If <50%R, then J- and R flag positive and ND results,					
respectively					
 If 50-LCL%R, J- and UJ flag positive and ND results, 					
respectively					
 >UCL: J+ Flag positive results 					
• >150%R: R Flag results					
22. Was the RPD between LCS and LCSD results within			✓	LCS only	
method/laboratory /project control limits (<20%RPD)? If not, J and					
UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively					
23. Was a Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) analyzed	✓			• Batch 353312: 680-106200-1 (CV0005Y-CS6),	
once per preparation batch?				MS/MSD/PDS	
24. Is the MS and MSD parent sample a project-specific sample?	✓	✓		• Batch 353262: 680-106200-A-51 (Batch Sample),	
25. Was a post-digestion spike (PDS) analysis conducted when MS and/or	✓			MS/MSD/PDS. Lab sample 680-106200-A-51 is a	
MSD results did not meet control limits (Note: PDS is not required for				project-specific sample [CV0753B-CS (0-4")] and results	
silver, mercury, or hexavalent chromium)?				were reported under Job ID 680-106200-4.	
,				• Batch 353346: 680-106200-B-18 (Batch Sample),	
				MS/MSD/PDS. Lab sample 680-106200-B-18 is a	
				project-specific sample (CV0971WW-CS6) and results	
				were reported under Job ID 680-106200-2.	
26. For all analytes with sample concentration < 4 x spike concentration,		✓		680-106200-1 (CV0005Y-CS6): Arsenic MS and MSD @83	
are spike recoveries within method (6010C: 75-125%R MS/MSD and				and 131%R (Lab/Project: 75-125%R); PDS @88%R	
80-120%R PDS; 7471A: 80-120%R MS/MSD; 7196A: 85-115%R				(Lab/Project: 80-120%R). Qualification of data is not	
MS), laboratory (MS, MSD, and PDS: 75-125%R for 6010C/7471 (as				warranted, as the MS recovery met control limits.	

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
applicable) and 80-120%R for 7196), and project (as noted below) specifications? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. If not, • 6010C: • If MS %R <30 and PDS %R <75, then J- and R Flag positive and ND results, respectively • If MS %R <30 and PDS %R >75, then J flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results • If MS and MSD %R 30-74 and PDS%R <75, then J- flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results • If MS and MSD %R 30-74 and PDS%R ≥75, then J flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results • If MS, MSD, and PDS %R >125, J+ flag positive results • If MS and MSD %R >125 and PDS %R ≤125, then J flag positive results • If MS and MSD %R <30 and no PDS, then J- flag positive and R-flag non-detect results • If MS and MSD %R 30-74 and no PDS, then J- and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively • If MS and MSD %R >125 and no PDS, then J+ flag positive results • 7471A/7196: • If MS %R <30, then J- and R Flag positive and ND results, respectively • If MS and MSD %R 30-LCL, then J- flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
 27. For all analytes with sample concentration < 4 x spike concentration, were laboratory/project (≤20%RPD) criteria met for precision during the MS and MSD analysis? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. ○ If RPD >20%, J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results. 28. Was a serial dilution conducted for 6010C/EPA 200.7? 	✓ ✓				
29. Is the serial dilution parent sample a project-specific sample?	√	√		 680-106200-1 (CV0005Y-CS6) 680-106200-51 (Batch Sample). Lab sample 680-106200-51 is a project-specific sample [CV0753B-CS (0-4")] and results were reported under Job ID 680-106200-4. 680-106200-18 (Batch Sample). Lab sample 680-106200-18 is a project-specific sample (CV0971WW-CS6) and results were reported under Job ID 680-106200-2. 	
30. Is the percent difference between the serially diluted result and undiluted result less 10% (for those analytes with native concentrations greater than 50x the DL)? Only QC results for project	√				

Data Validation Checklist (Continued)

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
samples are evaluated. o If %D >10, J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively.					
31. Was a laboratory duplicate analyzed?		✓			
32. Was the lab duplicate analysis conducted on a project-specific sample?			✓		
 33. Were criteria for laboratory/project precision met? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. If RPD values >20% (35% for soil/sediment) or absolute difference > RL (2x RL for soil/sediment), then J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively 			√		
34. Were lab comments included in report? If yes, summarize contents or attach a copy of the narrative.	✓			Refer to Attachment C (Case Narrative)	

Comments: The data validation was conducted in accordance with the *Non-Industrial Use Property Sampling Event QAPP for the 35th Avenue Removal Site, Birmingham, Alabama, Revision 1* (OTIE, October 2012). The data review process was modeled after the *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Inorganic Data Review* (EPA 540-R-04-004, October 2004). Sample results have been qualified based on the results of the data review process (**Attachment D**). Criteria for acceptability of data were based upon available site information, analytical method requirements, guidance documents, and professional judgment

DV Flag Definitions:

- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting Quality Control (QC) criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the associated level; blank contamination may exist.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

ATTACHMENT A SAMPLE SUMMARY

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Client: Oneida Total Integrated Enterprises LLC

Job Number: 680-106200-1

Sdg Number: 680-106200-01

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Client Matrix	Date/Time Sampled	Date/Time Received
680-106200-1	CV0005Y-CS6	Solid	10/09/2014 0900	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-1MS	CV0005Y-CS6	Solid	10/09/2014 0900	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-1MSD	CV0005Y-CS6	Solid	10/09/2014 0900	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-2	CV0005Y-CSD6	Solid	10/09/2014 0900	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-3	CV0005Y-CS12	Solid	10/09/2014 0910	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-4	CV0005Y-CS18	Solid	10/09/2014 0920	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-5	CV0005Y-CS24	Solid	10/09/2014 0930	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-6	CV0005AA-CS6	Solid	10/08/2014 1400	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-7	CV0005AA-CS12	Solid	10/08/2014 1410	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-8	CV0005AA-CS18	Solid	10/08/2014 1420	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-9	CV0005AA-CS24	Solid	10/08/2014 1430	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-10	CV0005BB-CS6	Solid	10/08/2014 1230	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-11	CV0005BB-CS12	Solid	10/08/2014 1240	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-12	CV0005BB-CS18	Solid	10/08/2014 1250	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-13	CV0005BB-CS24	Solid	10/08/2014 1300	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-14	CV0005E-CS6	Solid	10/08/2014 0920	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-15	CV0005E-CS12	Solid	10/08/2014 0930	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-16	CV0005E-CS18	Solid	10/08/2014 0940	10/11/2014 0933
680-106200-17	CV0005E-CS24	Solid	10/08/2014 0950	10/11/2014 0933

ATTACHMENT B FIELD DUPLICATE EVALUATION

Analyte	CV0005Y-CS6 680-106200-1		CV0005Y-CSD6 680-106200-2	RL	Unit	Avg.	DDD	Absolute difference	2x Avg RL	Action
Analyte	080-100200-1	KL	000-100200-2	KL	Unit	KLAS	KFD	unicicnec	KL	Action
Aluminum	12000	22	14000	20	mg/kg	105	15	NA	NA	None, RPD $\leq 50\%$
Arsenic	28	2.2	27	2.0	mg/kg	10.5	4	NA	NA	None, RPD $\leq 50\%$
Iron	47000	22	42000	20	mg/kg	105	11	NA	NA	None, RPD $\leq 50\%$
Lead	220	11	190	1.0	mg/kg	30	15	NA	NA	None, RPD $\leq 50\%$

Note: If the analyte was not detected, then the cell was left blank.

mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram

NA - Not applicable

RL - Reporting limit

RPD - Relative percent difference

Precision is based on either the absolute difference between sample results or RPD. If the sample results are less than or equal to 5x's the RL, then precision is based on the absolute difference between duplicate results. If sample results >5x's RL, then precision is evaluated using RPD. J-Flag sample results whenever the absolute difference is greater than the RL (2x for soils) or the RPD >20% (50% for soil). Table above presents the results for detected analytes only.

ATTACHMENT C
CASE NARRATIVE

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: Oneida Total Integrated Enterprises LLC
Project: 35th Avenue Superfund Site
Report Number: 680-106200-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In the event of interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples may be diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described below or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 10/11/2014 9:33 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperatures of the 4 coolers at receipt time were 0.8° C, 1.8° C, 4.8° C and 5.2° C.

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GC/MS) LOW LEVEL PAH

Samples CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1), CV0005Y-CSD6 (680-106200-2), CV0005Y-CS12 (680-106200-3), CV0005Y-CS18 (680-106200-4), CV0005Y-CS24 (680-106200-5), CV0005AA-CS6 (680-106200-6), CV0005AA-CS12 (680-106200-7), CV0005AA-CS18 (680-106200-8), CV0005AA-CS24 (680-106200-9), CV0005BB-CS6 (680-106200-10), CV0005BB-CS12 (680-106200-11), CV0005BB-CS18 (680-106200-12), CV0005BB-CS24 (680-106200-13), CV0005E-CS6 (680-106200-14), CV0005E-CS12 (680-106200-15), CV0005E-CS18 (680-106200-16) and CV0005E-CS24 (680-106200-17) were analyzed for Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Low level PAH in accordance with EPA SW846 Method 8270D.

Method(s) 8270D_LL_PAH: Manual integration was performed on the following sample(s): CV0005AA-CS12 (680-106200-7), CV0005AA-CS18 (680-106200-8), CV0005AA-CS6 (680-106200-6), CV0005BB-CS12 (680-106200-11), CV0005BB-CS18 (680-106200-12), CV0005BB-CS24 (680-106200-13), CV0005BB-CS6 (680-106200-10), CV0005E-CS12 (680-106200-15), CV0005E-CS24 (680-106200-17), CV0005E-CS6 (680-106200-14), CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1), CV0005Y-CSD6 (680-106200-2), CV0005E-CS18 (680-106200-16).

Method(s) 8270D_LL_PAH: The following sample(s) was diluted due to abundance of target analytes: CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1 MS), CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1 MSD). As such, surrogate and MS/MSD spike recoveries were diluted out and are not reported.

Method(s) 8270D_LL_PAH: The following sample(s) required a dilution due to the nature of the sample matrix: CV0005AA-CS24 (680-106200-9), CV0005Y-CS12 (680-106200-3), CV0005Y-CS18 (680-106200-4), CV0005Y-CS24 (680-106200-5). Because of this dilution, the surrogate spikes are not reported.

Method(s) 8270D_LL_PAH: The following sample(s) was diluted due to the nature of the sample matrix: CV0005AA-CS24 (680-106200-9), CV0005Y-CS18 (680-106200-4). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Several analytes have recovery outside criteria low for the MS and MSD of sample CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1) in batch 680-353689. Refer to the QC report for details.

METALS (ICP)

Samples CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1), CV0005Y-CSD6 (680-106200-2), CV0005Y-CS12 (680-106200-3), CV0005Y-CS18 (680-106200-4), CV0005Y-CS24 (680-106200-5), CV0005AA-CS6 (680-106200-6), CV0005AA-CS12 (680-106200-7), CV0005AA-CS18 (680-106200-8), CV0005AA-CS24 (680-106200-9), CV0005BB-CS6 (680-106200-10), CV0005BB-CS12 (680-106200-11), CV0005BB-CS18 (680-106200-12), CV0005BB-CS24 (680-106200-13), CV0005E-CS6 (680-106200-14), CV0005E-CS12 (680-106200-15), CV0005E-CS18 (680-106200-16) and CV0005E-CS24 (680-106200-17) were analyzed for Metals (ICP) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 6010C.

Several metals have recoveries outside criteria high for the MS of sample CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1) in batch 680-354509.

Iron recovery is outside criteria low for the MSD of sample CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1) in batch 680-354509. Aluminum, Arsenic and Lead recovery is outside criteria high.

Refer to the QC report for details.

Samples CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1)[10X] and CV0005BB-CS12 (680-106200-11)[10X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

PERCENT SOLIDS/MOISTURE

Samples CV0005Y-CS6 (680-106200-1), CV0005Y-CSD6 (680-106200-2), CV0005Y-CS12 (680-106200-3), CV0005Y-CS18 (680-106200-4), CV0005Y-CS24 (680-106200-5), CV0005AA-CS6 (680-106200-6), CV0005AA-CS12 (680-106200-7), CV0005AA-CS18 (680-106200-8), CV0005AA-CS24 (680-106200-9), CV0005BB-CS6 (680-106200-10), CV0005BB-CS12 (680-106200-11), CV0005BB-CS18 (680-106200-12), CV0005BB-CS24 (680-106200-13), CV0005E-CS6 (680-106200-14), CV0005E-CS12

(680-106200-15), CV0005E-CS18 (680-106200-16) and CV0005E-CS24 (680-106200-17) were analyzed for Percent Solids/Moisture in accordance with TestAmerica SOP.

ATTACHMENT D QUALIFIED SAMPLE RESULTS

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005Y-CS6 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-1

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/09/2014 09:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 90.5

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	12000	22	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	28	2.2	0.65	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	47000	22	7.7	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	220	11	5.8	mg/Kg			10	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005Y-CSD6 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-2

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/09/2014 09:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 88.7

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	14000	20	10	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	27	2.0	0.60	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	42000	20	7.2	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	190	1.0	0.54	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005Y-CS12 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-3

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/09/2014 09:10

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 88.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	12000	22	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	23	2.2	0.66	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	41000	22	7.8	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	34	1.1	0.59	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005Y-CS18 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-4

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/09/2014 09:20

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 86.6

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	14000	21	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	25	2.1	0.63	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	36000	21	7.4	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	27	1.1	0.56	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005Y-CS24 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-5

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/09/2014 09:30

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 85.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	16000	23	12	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	34	2.3	0.68	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	41000	23	8.1	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	26	1.2	0.61	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005AA-CS6 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-6

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 14:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 90.6

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	13000	19	9.3	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	29	1.9	0.55	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	40000	19	6.5	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	110	0.93	0.49	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 14:10

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 90.8

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	14000	21	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	31	2.1	0.62	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	55000	21	7.4	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	28	1.1	0.56	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005AA-CS18 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-8

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 14:20

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 88.7

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	14000	19	9.6	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	29	1.9	0.57	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	71000	19	6.7	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	38	0.96	0.51	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005AA-CS24 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-9

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 14:30

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 88.4

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	11000	21	10	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	17	2.1	0.62	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	32000	21	7.3	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	14	1.0	0.56	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005BB-CS6 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-10

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 12:30

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 87.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	15000	22	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	40	2.2	0.65	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	40000	22	7.7	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	92	1.1	0.58	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005BB-CS12 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-11

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 12:40

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 85.9

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	15000	20	10	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	58	2.0	0.60	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	55000	20	7.1	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	110	10	5.4	mg/Kg			10	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005BB-CS18 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-12

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 12:50

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 86.9

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	12000	20	9.8	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	39	2.0	0.58	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	36000	20	6.9	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	58	0.98	0.52	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005BB-CS24 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-13

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 13:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 89.1

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	19000	22	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	37	2.2	0.66	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	38000	22	7.8	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	140	1.1	0.59	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005E-CS6 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-14

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 09:20

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 91.8

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	13000	19	9.5	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	29	1.9	0.56	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	42000	19	6.6	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	130	0.95	0.50	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Client Sample ID: CV0005E-CS12 Lab Sample ID: 680-106200-15

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 09:30

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 90.0

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	12000	21	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	30	2.1	0.63	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	33000	21	7.5	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	120	1.1	0.57	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 09:40

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 90.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	13000	21	10	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	38	2.1	0.61	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	39000	21	7.2	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	230	1.0	0.54	mg/Kg			1	6010C

1A-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METALS

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-106200-1

SDG ID.: 680-106200-01

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 10/08/2014 09:50

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 10/11/2014 09:33

% Solids: 91.6

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7429-90-5	Aluminum	13000	22	11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-38-2	Arsenic	32	2.2	0.64	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-89-6	Iron	46000	22	7.6	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	250	1.1	0.57	mg/Kg			1	6010C